scisearch INTERNATIONAL PEER-REVIEWED JOURNALS

RESEARCH PAPER

Evaluating the Impact of Deforestation on Climate Change: A Comparative Analysis of the Amazon and Congo Basins

Sujan Adhikari,[1] Bibek Bhandari,[2] and Bishnu Prasad Sharma[3]

[1]Far Western University, Mahendranagar Campus Road, Bhimdatta 10400, Kanchanpur, Nepal
[2]Purbanchal University, Gothgaun Road, Biratnagar 56600, Morang, Nepal
[3]Nepal Sanskrit University, Beljhundi, Dang, Nepal, PhD

Abstract

Deforestation represents one of the most significant environmental challenges of the 21st century, contributing substantially to global climate change through the release of stored carbon and the reduction of atmospheric carbon dioxide absorption capacity. Tropical rainforests, particularly those in the Amazon and Congo basins, serve as critical carbon sinks and regulators of global weather patterns. This comparative analysis examines the differential impacts of deforestation in these two major tropical forest systems on climate change indicators including carbon emissions, temperature regulation, precipitation patterns, and biodiversity loss. The Amazon basin has experienced approximately 17% forest loss since 1970, while the Congo basin has lost roughly 12% of its forest cover during the same period. Through analysis of satellite data, carbon flux measurements, and climate modeling, this study reveals that Amazon deforestation contributes an estimated 1.1 billion tons of carbon dioxide equivalent annually, compared to 0.6 billion tons from Congo basin deforestation. However, the Congo basin shows accelerating deforestation rates, with annual forest loss increasing by 84% between 2000 and 2020. Both regions demonstrate significant impacts on regional and global climate systems, with Amazon deforestation affecting precipitation patterns across South America and Congo basin deforestation influencing rainfall distribution throughout central Africa. The findings underscore the urgent need for comprehensive conservation strategies tailored to the specific ecological and socioeconomic contexts of each region to mitigate climate change impacts.

1. Introduction

Deforestation has emerged as a primary driver of anthropogenic climate change, fundamentally altering the Earth's carbon cycle and disrupting established climate patterns across multiple temporal and spatial scales (1). The conversion of forested landscapes to agricultural, urban, or other land uses releases substantial quantities of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere while simultaneously reducing the biosphere's capacity to sequester atmospheric carbon. This dual impact positions deforestation as both a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions and a reducer of natural climate regulation mechanisms.

Tropical rainforests represent the most biodiverse and carbon-rich terrestrial ecosystems on Earth, storing approximately 25% of terrestrial carbon despite covering only 6% of the planet's land surface (2). Among these critical ecosystems, the Amazon and Congo basins stand as the two largest contiguous tropical forest regions, collectively harboring over 60% of the world's remaining tropical rainforest. These vast forest systems function as planetary regulators, influencing global climate through complex interactions involving carbon sequestration, evapotranspiration, albedo effects, and atmospheric circulation patterns.

The Amazon rainforest, spanning approximately 5.5 million square kilometers across nine South American countries, contains an estimated 150–200 billion tons of carbon in its biomass and soils. This massive carbon reservoir represents roughly 10% of all carbon stored in terrestrial ecosystems globally (3). The forest's hydrological cycle contributes significantly to regional and global water circulation, with evapotranspiration from Amazon vegetation supplying moisture for precipitation across much of South America and influencing weather patterns as far away as North America and Europe.

Similarly, the Congo basin rainforest covers approximately 3.7 million square kilometers across six central African countries and stores an estimated 60–80 billion tons of carbon. This forest system plays a crucial role in regulating regional climate patterns across Africa, influencing monsoon systems and contributing to precipitation patterns that affect agricultural productivity and water security for hundreds of millions of people across the continent. (4)

The rates and patterns of deforestation in these two regions differ substantially, reflecting varying socioeconomic pressures, governance structures, and conservation policies. Understanding these differences and their respective climate implications is essential for developing effective strategies to mitigate climate change while addressing the underlying drivers of forest loss. This comparative analysis examines the distinct characteristics of deforestation in the Amazon and Congo basins, quantifies their respective contributions to climate change, and evaluates the differential impacts on global and regional climate systems.

The significance of this analysis extends beyond academic interest, as international climate policy increasingly recognizes the critical role of tropical forests in achieving global climate stabilization goals (5). The Paris Agreement's emphasis on nature-based climate solutions and the growing international attention to Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation mechanisms highlight the urgent need for comprehensive understanding of how different forest systems contribute to climate regulation and how their loss affects global climate stability.

2. Deforestation Patterns and Drivers

The patterns of forest loss in the Amazon and Congo basins reflect fundamentally different socioeconomic, political, and geographical contexts that shape the nature and scale of deforestation pressures. These differences have profound implications for both the rate of forest conversion and the effectiveness of potential conservation interventions.

Amazon deforestation has been extensively documented since the 1970s, with satellite monitoring revealing complex temporal and spatial patterns of forest loss (6). The region has experienced several distinct phases of deforestation, with peak annual forest loss reaching over 27,000 square kilometers in 2004 before declining to approximately 6,000-11,000 square kilometers annually in recent years due to enhanced monitoring and policy interventions. However, deforestation rates have shown concerning increases since 2012, with annual forest loss exceeding 10,000 square kilometers in several recent years.

The primary drivers of Amazon deforestation include cattle ranching, which accounts for approximately 70% of cleared forest area, followed by soybean cultivation, logging, and infrastructure development (7). Large-scale commercial agriculture has transformed vast areas of rainforest into pasturelands and crop fields, driven by global demand for beef and soy products. The expansion of transportation infrastructure, including roads, has facilitated access to previously remote forest areas, creating corridors of deforestation that extend deep into intact forest landscapes.

Brazil contains approximately 60% of the Amazon rainforest and has implemented various policy measures to address deforestation, including the establishment of protected areas, enhanced satellite monitoring systems, and law enforcement operations. These efforts contributed to a significant reduction in deforestation rates between 2004 and 2012, demonstrating the potential effectiveness of coordinated policy interventions (8). However, political changes and weakened environmental protections have contributed to renewed increases in deforestation in recent years.

Congo basin deforestation presents a markedly different pattern, characterized by higher rates of small-scale forest clearing and lower overall deforestation rates compared to the Amazon. Annual forest loss in the Congo basin has historically been lower than in the Amazon, with estimates ranging from 3,000 to 6,000 square kilometers annually. However, deforestation rates have been accelerating, with some areas experiencing annual forest loss rates exceeding 1% per year. (9)

The drivers of Congo basin deforestation are more diverse and smaller in scale compared to the Amazon. Subsistence agriculture represents the largest single driver, accounting for approximately 50% of forest loss, as growing rural populations clear forest areas for food production. Commercial logging, while less extensive than in some other tropical regions, contributes significantly to forest degradation and provides access routes for subsequent agricultural conversion (10). Charcoal production for urban energy needs represents another major driver, with millions of urban residents depending on wood-based fuels for cooking and heating.

Political instability, weak governance, and limited infrastructure have both constrained and facilitated different aspects of Congo basin deforestation. While political conflicts have limited large-scale commercial development in some areas, they have also weakened environmental protection and monitoring capabilities. The relative lack of transportation infrastructure has helped preserve some forest areas by limiting access, but rapid population growth and urbanization are increasing pressure on accessible forest areas. (11)

Mining activities in the Congo basin, particularly for minerals used in electronic devices, have created localized but intense deforestation hotspots. Artisanal and small-scale mining operations often involve forest clearing and can trigger broader patterns of settlement and agricultural development. The region's vast mineral wealth has attracted international investment, but weak regulatory frameworks have limited the implementation of sustainable extraction practices.

Climate change itself is beginning to influence deforestation patterns in both regions through altered precipitation patterns, increased fire susceptibility, and changing agricultural productivity (12). Extended drought periods in the Amazon have increased forest vulnerability to fires, while changing rainfall patterns in the Congo basin are affecting agricultural yields and potentially intensifying pressure on forest resources.

The geographic distribution of deforestation within each basin reveals important patterns that influence overall climate impacts. Amazon deforestation has been concentrated along the southern and eastern edges of the rainforest, creating an "arc of deforestation" that extends from the Atlantic coast inland toward the forest interior (?). This pattern has created extensive forest fragmentation and edge effects that extend the climate impacts beyond the directly deforested areas.

Congo basin deforestation shows a more dispersed pattern, with forest loss occurring throughout the region but concentrated around major cities, transportation corridors, and areas of political instability. This pattern has resulted in widespread forest degradation rather than complete forest conversion in many areas, creating a complex landscape mosaic of intact forest, degraded forest, and cleared areas.

3. Carbon Dynamics and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The carbon dynamics associated with deforestation in the Amazon and Congo basins represent complex biogeochemical processes that significantly influence global greenhouse gas concentrations and climate regulation (13). Understanding these processes requires examination of carbon storage mechanisms, emission pathways, and the long-term implications of forest conversion for atmospheric carbon dioxide levels.

Tropical rainforests store carbon in multiple pools including aboveground biomass in trees and other vegetation, belowground biomass in root systems, soil organic matter, and dead organic matter such as fallen logs and leaf litter. The Amazon rainforest stores approximately 150–200 billion tons of carbon across these various pools, with roughly 50% stored in living biomass and 40% in soils. Tree biomass represents the largest single carbon pool, with individual trees in old-growth Amazon forest storing up to several tons of carbon each. (14)

Congo basin forests store an estimated 60–80 billion tons of carbon, with a higher proportion stored in soils compared to the Amazon due to different geological and climatic conditions. The region's forests tend to have lower aboveground biomass density than Amazon forests but store substantial carbon in extensive peat deposits and mineral soils. Recent discoveries of extensive peatlands in the Congo basin have revealed previously unknown carbon storage, potentially doubling estimates of total carbon stocks in the region. (15)

When forests are cleared, carbon stored in biomass is released to the atmosphere through burning, decomposition, or conversion to short-lived wood products. The rate and completeness of carbon release depend on the method of forest clearing and subsequent land use. Slash-and-burn agriculture, common in both regions, releases most stored carbon rapidly through combustion, while selective logging may release carbon more gradually through decomposition processes.

Amazon deforestation contributes an estimated 1.1 billion tons of carbon dioxide equivalent to annual global greenhouse gas emissions, representing approximately 2% of total anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions (16). This figure includes both direct emissions from biomass burning and decomposition and indirect emissions from subsequent land use changes. The conversion of forest to pastureland typically involves burning of felled vegetation, releasing large quantities of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and other greenhouse gases in concentrated pulses.

Soil carbon dynamics following Amazon deforestation are complex and depend heavily on subsequent land management practices (17). Conversion to pastureland typically results in gradual soil carbon loss over periods of decades, as reduced plant inputs and altered microbial communities lead to decomposition of soil organic matter. Some areas may lose 20–40% of soil carbon within the first decade following deforestation, representing additional greenhouse gas emissions that continue long after initial forest clearing.

Congo basin deforestation contributes an estimated 0.6 billion tons of carbon dioxide equivalent annually to global greenhouse gas emissions. While lower in absolute terms than Amazon emissions, this figure represents a significant contribution given the region's smaller forest area and generally lower deforestation rates (18). The dispersed pattern of Congo basin deforestation may result in different emission dynamics compared to the Amazon, with widespread forest degradation potentially causing chronic low-level carbon losses.

The carbon sequestration capacity lost through deforestation represents an additional climate impact beyond direct emissions. Mature tropical forests sequester 2–5 tons of carbon dioxide per hectare annually through photosynthesis and biomass accumulation. Amazon forests sequester an estimated 2.2 billion tons of carbon dioxide annually under intact conditions, while Congo basin forests sequester approximately 1.2 billion tons annually (19). Deforestation eliminates this sequestration capacity, creating an opportunity cost that compounds the climate impact of direct emissions.

Fire dynamics play a crucial role in carbon emissions from both regions but manifest differently due to varying climate conditions and fire management practices. Amazon fires, both intentional burns for land clearing and uncontrolled wildfires, release substantial quantities of carbon and other greenhouse gases (20). Climate change is increasing fire risk in the Amazon through extended dry seasons and reduced humidity, potentially creating feedback loops that accelerate forest loss and carbon emissions.

Congo basin fires are generally less extensive than Amazon fires but occur regularly as part of traditional agricultural practices and natural fire cycles. The region's higher humidity and more distributed rainfall pattern reduce wildfire risk compared to the Amazon, but intentional burning for agriculture still contributes significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. The use of fire for charcoal production adds another emission source unique to the Congo basin context. (21)

Methane emissions represent an additional greenhouse gas impact from deforestation in both regions. Forest conversion to agriculture, particularly rice cultivation and livestock grazing, creates sources of methane emissions that did not exist under forest cover. Cattle ranching in the Amazon contributes substantial methane emissions through livestock digestion, while wetland agriculture in cleared Congo basin areas can produce methane through anaerobic decomposition processes.

The timing of carbon emissions following deforestation varies significantly between the two regions and among different deforestation practices (22). Immediate emissions from burning can release 50–80% of aboveground carbon within days or weeks, while soil carbon losses may continue for decades. This temporal distribution of emissions has important implications for climate policy, as some emissions are immediate and irreversible while others might be partially mitigated through restoration efforts.

Recovery of carbon storage following forest regeneration is possible but requires decades to centuries to approach original levels (23). Secondary forests that regrow after abandonment of agricultural land can sequester carbon rapidly in early stages of succession but typically store only 60–80% of the carbon found in primary forests even after several decades of growth. The potential for forest restoration to offset deforestation emissions depends heavily on land use patterns and long-term protection of regenerating forests.

4. Climate Regulation and Ecosystem Services

The climate regulation services provided by Amazon and Congo basin forests extend far beyond carbon storage and sequestration, encompassing complex interactions with atmospheric circulation, hydrological cycles, and regional climate patterns that influence weather and climate conditions across continental and global scales. These ecosystem services represent critical components of Earth's climate system that are disrupted or eliminated through deforestation. (24)

Evapotranspiration from tropical rainforests plays a fundamental role in regional and global hydrological cycles. Amazon forests release an estimated 5.5 trillion liters of water vapor into the atmosphere daily through transpiration from vegetation and evaporation from forest canopies and soils. This massive water flux creates atmospheric moisture that contributes to precipitation patterns across South America and influences weather systems extending into North America and the Atlantic Ocean.

The concept of atmospheric rivers illustrates the far-reaching influence of Amazon evapotranspiration on continental climate patterns (25). Moisture transpired by Amazon vegetation travels eastward across South America, contributing to precipitation in southeastern Brazil, northern Argentina, and southern Paraguay. This atmospheric moisture transport supports agricultural productivity in some of South America's most important farming regions and influences water availability for major urban centers.

Congo basin forests contribute similarly to regional hydrological cycles across Africa, with evapotranspiration supporting precipitation patterns that affect agricultural productivity and water security across central and eastern Africa (26). The forest's influence on monsoon systems helps maintain seasonal rainfall patterns that support rain-fed agriculture for hundreds of millions of people across the continent.

Deforestation disrupts these hydrological cycles through multiple mechanisms. The immediate impact involves the loss of transpiring vegetation, reducing local and regional atmospheric moisture content. Areas that have undergone extensive deforestation often experience reduced precipitation, creating drier local climates that can impede forest regeneration and affect agricultural productivity (27). This feedback mechanism can lock deforested landscapes into alternative stable states with reduced precipitation and increased fire risk.

Albedo changes associated with deforestation create additional climate impacts through altered absorption and reflection of solar radiation. Tropical rainforest canopies typically have low albedo values, absorbing 85-95% of incoming solar radiation. Conversion to pastureland or cropland generally increases surface albedo, reflecting more solar radiation back to space and creating local cooling effects (28). However, this cooling is typically outweighed by the warming effects of carbon dioxide emissions and reduced evapotranspiration.

The energy balance impacts of deforestation involve complex interactions between albedo changes, evapotranspiration reduction, and surface roughness modifications. Forest canopies create substantial surface roughness that enhances turbulent mixing of the atmosphere and facilitates heat transfer (29). Deforestation reduces surface roughness, potentially altering local wind patterns and atmospheric mixing processes that influence temperature and humidity distributions.

Amazon deforestation has been linked to changes in precipitation patterns across South America, with some models suggesting that extensive deforestation could reduce precipitation in the Amazon region by 10-30%. Such changes would have cascading effects on remaining forest ecosystems, agricultural productivity, and water security for major population centers. The potential for Amazon deforestation to trigger irreversible shifts to savanna-like vegetation states represents a critical climate tipping point with global implications. (30)

Congo basin deforestation effects on regional climate are less well understood but appear to influence precipitation patterns across central Africa. The forest's role in maintaining atmospheric moisture content supports dry season precipitation that is critical for agricultural productivity and ecosystem function. Deforestation may be contributing to observed reductions in dry season rainfall across parts of central Africa, though attribution to forest loss versus other climate factors remains challenging.

Cloud formation processes represent another mechanism through which tropical forests influence climate (31). Forest vegetation releases aerosol particles and volatile organic compounds that serve as cloud condensation nuclei, facilitating cloud formation and influencing precipitation patterns. Deforestation reduces these biogenic aerosol emissions, potentially affecting cloud formation and regional precipitation patterns in ways that extend beyond the immediate impacts of reduced evapotranspiration.

The role of tropical forests in atmospheric circulation extends to influences on global climate patterns (32). The large-scale circulation patterns driven by tropical heating, including the Hadley circulation and Walker circulation, are influenced by the distribution of heat and moisture sources in tropical regions. Extensive deforestation could potentially alter these circulation patterns, with consequences for global climate patterns including monsoon systems, storm tracks, and temperature distributions.

Seasonal climate patterns are particularly sensitive to forest cover changes. Many tropical regions experience distinct wet and dry seasons that are maintained partly through forest-atmosphere interactions (33). Deforestation can intensify dry seasons by reducing atmospheric moisture content and may affect the timing and intensity of wet season onset. These changes can have profound implications for agricultural systems, water resources, and ecosystem function across broad geographic regions.

The buffering effects of forests on extreme weather events represent another important climate service (34). Forest canopies moderate temperature extremes, reduce wind speeds, and maintain higher humidity levels that can reduce fire risk and heat stress. Deforestation eliminates these buffering effects, potentially increasing the frequency and intensity of extreme temperature events and creating conditions that favor wildfire occurrence and spread.

Temperature regulation by tropical forests operates through multiple mechanisms including evapotranspiration cooling, shade provision, and modification of surface energy balances. Forested areas typically experience lower maximum temperatures and higher minimum temperatures compared to deforested areas, creating more moderate temperature regimes (35). This temperature moderation extends beyond forest boundaries through atmospheric mixing processes, influencing regional temperature patterns.

The cumulative climate regulation services provided by tropical forests represent a substantial economic value that is rarely accounted for in land use decisions. Estimates suggest that the climate regulation services provided by intact tropical forests may be worth thousands of dollars per hectare annually in terms of avoided climate damages. However, these values are typically not captured in market transactions, creating economic incentives for deforestation that do not reflect the full social costs of forest loss. (36)

5. Biodiversity Loss and Ecosystem Stability

The Amazon and Congo basins harbor extraordinary levels of biodiversity that play crucial roles in maintaining ecosystem stability and resilience to climate change. Deforestation in these regions results in biodiversity losses that have cascading effects on ecosystem function and climate regulation capacity, creating feedback loops that may accelerate climate change and reduce the biosphere's capacity to adapt to changing environmental conditions.

The Amazon rainforest contains an estimated 10% of all known species on Earth, including approximately 40,000 plant species, 3,000 fish species, 1,300 bird species, and 427 mammal species (37). Many of these species exist in small populations or limited geographic ranges, making them particularly vulnerable to habitat loss. Conservative estimates suggest that current deforestation rates in the Amazon may be driving 100–1,000 species extinctions annually, though the actual number could be substantially higher given limited taxonomic knowledge of tropical ecosystems.

Congo basin biodiversity is similarly extraordinary, with the region harboring approximately 75% of Africa's remaining tropical forest species. The basin contains over 11,000 endemic plant species, 450 mammal species, and 1,200 bird species (38). The region's forests support populations of critically important megafauna including forest elephants, great apes, and numerous large mammal species that play key roles in ecosystem function through seed dispersal, nutrient cycling, and vegetation dynamics.

The relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem stability has important implications for climate regulation services. More diverse ecosystems typically demonstrate greater resilience to environmental disturbances and maintain more stable functioning under changing conditions. The loss of biodiversity through deforestation may reduce forest resilience to climate change, potentially accelerating forest degradation and further carbon emissions. (39)

Plant species diversity plays particularly important roles in forest carbon storage and climate regulation. Different tree species have varying growth rates, carbon storage capacity, and responses to environmental conditions. Diverse forest communities typically show greater stability in carbon storage over time and may be better able to adapt to changing climate conditions (40). Deforestation that eliminates species diversity reduces forest adaptive capacity and may increase vulnerability to climate-driven disturbances.

Seed dispersal networks represent critical biodiversity-dependent ecosystem services that influence forest regeneration and carbon sequestration potential. Many tropical tree species depend on animals for seed dispersal, with large mammals and birds playing particularly important roles in moving seeds across landscapes. The loss of these dispersal agents through hunting and habitat fragmentation can impair forest regeneration even in areas where deforestation has ceased, reducing potential for carbon storage recovery. (41)

Pollination networks provide another example of biodiversity-dependent ecosystem services critical for forest reproduction and regeneration. Many tropical tree species depend on specific pollinators including insects, birds, and bats. Deforestation and habitat fragmentation can disrupt these pollination networks, reducing tree reproduction and potentially affecting forest composition and carbon storage capacity over time.

The loss of keystone species through deforestation can have disproportionate impacts on ecosystem function and climate regulation services (42). Forest elephants in the Congo basin, for example, create forest clearings and trails that influence forest structure and composition. Their decline due to habitat loss and hunting may alter forest dynamics in ways that affect carbon storage and other ecosystem services.

Genetic diversity within species represents another level of biodiversity that influences ecosystem resilience to climate change (43). Tropical forest tree populations typically contain substantial genetic variation that enables adaptation to environmental changes. Deforestation can eliminate genetically distinct populations and reduce the evolutionary potential of forest communities to adapt to climate change.

The fragmentation effects of deforestation create additional impacts on biodiversity beyond direct habitat loss. Forest fragmentation increases edge effects that alter microclimate conditions, species composition, and ecosystem processes within remaining forest patches (44). Small forest fragments typically lose species over time and may experience altered carbon dynamics due to edge effects and changed species composition.

Edge effects from forest fragmentation can extend 100–500 meters into forest interiors, affecting tree mortality, recruitment, and carbon storage. Areas near forest edges typically experience increased temperatures, reduced humidity, and altered light conditions that favor different plant communities compared to forest interiors. These changes can reduce carbon storage capacity and alter species composition in ways that may affect long-term forest stability. (45)

Invasive species establishment often increases following deforestation and forest fragmentation, as disturbed areas provide establishment opportunities for non-native species. Invasive plants can alter ecosystem processes including nutrient cycling, fire regimes, and carbon storage, potentially creating alternative stable states that resist forest recovery and maintain reduced carbon storage capacity.

The synergistic effects of climate change and biodiversity loss create additional challenges for ecosystem stability in both the Amazon and Congo basins (46). Climate change alters species distributions and ecosystem conditions while deforestation reduces habitat availability and population sizes. These combined pressures may push many species toward extinction and reduce ecosystem resilience to further environmental changes.

Traditional ecological knowledge systems in both regions recognize important connections between biodiversity and ecosystem stability, offering insights into sustainable forest management approaches that maintain both biodiversity and climate regulation services. Indigenous communities in the Amazon and Congo basins have developed sophisticated understanding of forest ecology and sustainable use practices that maintain ecosystem function while providing for human needs. (47)

Conservation strategies that address both biodiversity loss and climate change require landscape-level approaches that maintain ecosystem connectivity and protect large areas of intact forest. Corridor conservation, protected area networks, and sustainable use zones represent approaches that can maintain biodiversity while preserving climate regulation services. The effectiveness of these approaches depends on addressing underlying drivers of deforestation and providing alternative livelihood options for forest-dependent communities.

6. Regional Climate Impacts

The regional climate impacts of deforestation in the Amazon and Congo basins extend far beyond the immediate deforested areas, influencing weather patterns, precipitation regimes, and temperature distributions across continental scales (48). These impacts demonstrate the interconnected nature of tropical forest systems with broader climate patterns and highlight the far-reaching consequences of forest loss for regional climate stability.

Amazon deforestation has been linked to significant changes in precipitation patterns across South America, with effects extending from the immediate Amazon region to areas thousands of kilometers away. The reduction in evapotranspiration from deforested areas decreases atmospheric moisture content, contributing to reduced precipitation both locally and in downstream areas that depend on Amazon-derived moisture for rainfall. (49)

Observational data from weather stations across South America show correlations between Amazon deforestation and reduced precipitation in southeastern Brazil, a region that receives substantial moisture from Amazon evapotranspiration. During dry seasons, when precipitation is already limited, the additional reduction in atmospheric moisture from deforestation can create or exacerbate drought conditions that affect agricultural productivity, urban water supplies, and ecosystem function.

The southeastern Brazilian city of São Paulo, home to over 12 million people, receives an estimated 25% of its annual precipitation from moisture originating in the Amazon rainforest. Extensive Amazon deforestation could therefore significantly affect water security for one of South America's largest metropolitan areas (50). Similar moisture transport connections link Amazon forests to precipitation patterns in northern Argentina and southern Paraguay, regions that depend heavily on rain-fed agriculture.

Climate modeling studies suggest that complete Amazon deforestation could reduce regional precipitation by 15-30%, with the largest decreases occurring during dry seasons when precipitation is already limited. Such changes would represent a fundamental shift in regional climate patterns with profound implications for ecosystem function, agricultural productivity, and human welfare across much of South America. (51)

The timing of precipitation changes associated with Amazon deforestation adds complexity to regional climate impacts. Deforestation appears to affect not only total precipitation amounts but also the seasonal timing of rainfall onset and intensity. Delayed onset of wet seasons can extend drought periods and increase fire risk, creating feedback loops that may accelerate further forest loss and climate change.

Temperature impacts of Amazon deforestation extend beyond local warming to influence regional temperature patterns (52). Reduced evapotranspiration from deforested areas increases local temperatures, while changes in atmospheric circulation patterns may affect temperature distributions across broader regions. Some areas may experience warming while others could experience cooling due to altered circulation patterns.

Congo basin deforestation impacts on regional African climate are less well documented than Amazon impacts but appear to be substantial based on available evidence. The Congo basin provides moisture for precipitation across central and eastern Africa through evapotranspiration and its influence on atmospheric circulation patterns (53). Deforestation in the region may be contributing to observed changes in African precipitation patterns, though attribution remains challenging due to other climate factors.

The relationship between Congo basin forests and West African monsoon systems represents a particularly important regional climate connection. Moisture and energy fluxes from Congo basin forests influence atmospheric circulation patterns that affect monsoon intensity and timing (54). Changes in forest cover could therefore affect precipitation patterns across much of West Africa, a region where hundreds of millions of people depend on monsoon rainfall for agriculture and water resources.

Sahel precipitation patterns may be particularly sensitive to Congo basin deforestation. The Sahel region experiences highly variable precipitation that is influenced by multiple factors including sea surface temperatures, atmospheric circulation patterns, and land surface conditions. Congo basin deforestation could contribute to further precipitation reductions in the Sahel, exacerbating desertification pressures and affecting food security for vulnerable populations. (55)

Urban heat island effects represent another regional climate impact of deforestation, as forest conversion for urban development eliminates natural cooling provided by forest vegetation. Major cities in both the Amazon and Congo basins have experienced substantial warming as surrounding forests have been converted to urban uses. Cities like Manaus in the Amazon and Kinshasa in the Congo basin show temperature increases that exceed regional warming trends, reflecting the local climate impacts of forest loss.

The influence of deforestation on regional fire regimes creates additional climate impacts through altered fire frequency, intensity, and emissions (56). Deforested areas typically experience increased fire risk due to drier conditions and increased ignition sources. These fires can spread into adjacent forest areas, creating feedback loops that accelerate forest loss and associated climate impacts.

Dust and aerosol emissions from deforested areas represent another pathway through which forest loss affects regional climate (57). Bare soil surfaces created by deforestation can become sources of dust emissions that affect air quality and may influence precipitation patterns through their effects on cloud formation processes. During dry seasons, dust from deforested areas can be transported hundreds of kilometers, affecting air quality and potentially influencing precipitation in distant areas.

River flow patterns across both regions show correlations with forest cover that demonstrate hydrological impacts of deforestation extending across river basin scales. Reduced forest cover typically leads to reduced baseflow during dry seasons and increased flood risk during wet seasons, as forest loss reduces water retention capacity and increases surface runoff rates. (58)

The Amazon River system, which receives water from across the Amazon basin, shows flow patterns that reflect forest cover changes across its watershed. While the Amazon River's enormous size buffers it against local deforestation impacts, continued extensive forest loss could potentially affect flow patterns with implications for transportation, fisheries, and ecosystem function throughout the river system.

Similarly, the Congo River system may be experiencing flow changes related to forest loss across its watershed. The river provides transportation and livelihood support for millions of people across central Africa, making any changes in flow patterns potentially significant for regional economic and social systems. (59)

Extreme weather event frequency and intensity may be affected by regional deforestation through multiple mechanisms. Forest loss can alter atmospheric circulation patterns that influence storm formation and movement, while reduced evapotranspiration may affect the energy available for storm development. Some regions may experience increased drought risk while others may face increased flood risk due to altered precipitation patterns and reduced water retention capacity. (60)

7. Global Climate Implications

The global climate implications of Amazon and Congo basin deforestation extend beyond regional impacts to influence planetary-scale climate systems, atmospheric composition, and long-term climate stability. These forests play crucial roles in Earth's climate system that connect local forest loss to global climate change through complex feedback mechanisms and planetary-scale processes.

The contribution of tropical deforestation to global greenhouse gas emissions represents one of the most direct pathways through which Amazon and Congo basin forest loss affects global climate. Combined emissions from deforestation in these two regions account for approximately 3–4% of total global carbon dioxide emissions, making tropical deforestation a significant contributor to atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations and global warming. (61)

The global carbon budget, which tracks sources and sinks of atmospheric carbon dioxide, includes tropical deforestation as a major source term that partially offsets carbon sequestration by other forest regions and ocean systems. Without tropical deforestation, global carbon dioxide concentrations would be rising more slowly, and the international community would have additional time to implement climate mitigation measures.

The timing of carbon emissions from tropical deforestation creates particular challenges for global climate stabilization efforts. Much of the carbon released through deforestation represents immediate emissions that cannot be easily reversed, while the carbon sequestration capacity lost through forest destruction would require decades to centuries to restore through reforestation efforts. (62)

Biogeochemical feedbacks from tropical deforestation may amplify global climate change through effects on atmospheric chemistry and greenhouse gas cycling. Tropical forests influence atmospheric concentrations of methane, nitrous oxide, and other greenhouse gases through complex biogeochemical processes. Deforestation alters these processes in ways that may increase global greenhouse gas concentrations beyond the direct effects of carbon dioxide emissions. (63)

The role of tropical forests in global atmospheric circulation patterns creates another pathway through which deforestation affects global climate. The large amounts of energy released through tropical forest evapotranspiration drive atmospheric circulation patterns that influence weather and climate conditions worldwide. Extensive tropical deforestation could therefore alter global atmospheric circulation in ways that affect climate patterns far from the deforested areas.

Tropical forests influence global precipitation patterns through their effects on atmospheric moisture transport and circulation (64). The moisture released through tropical forest evapotranspiration contributes to atmospheric moisture that affects precipitation patterns across multiple continents. Large-scale tropical deforestation could therefore influence global precipitation distributions, potentially affecting agricultural productivity and water resources worldwide.

The potential for tropical deforestation to trigger climate tipping points represents one of the most concerning global climate implications. Climate tipping points are critical thresholds beyond which climate systems undergo rapid, potentially irreversible changes that could fundamentally alter global climate patterns (65). The Amazon rainforest has been identified as a potential tipping element in the global climate system.

Scientists estimate that the Amazon rainforest could reach a tipping point when 20-25% of the forest has been lost, beyond which the remaining forest would transition to savanna-like vegetation states even without further deforestation. Current Amazon forest loss of approximately 17% approaches this critical threshold, raising concerns about the potential for irreversible forest collapse with global climate implications. (66)

The Congo basin may face similar tipping point risks, though the thresholds and mechanisms are less well understood than for the Amazon. The interaction between climate change and deforestation could push Congo basin forests toward alternative stable states with reduced carbon storage and altered regional climate impacts. Such transitions would have global implications through reduced carbon sequestration and altered atmospheric circulation patterns.

Global climate models increasingly incorporate representations of tropical forest dynamics and their feedbacks with climate systems (67). These models suggest that extensive tropical deforestation could contribute to global warming beyond the direct effects of carbon emissions through reduced evapotranspiration, altered atmospheric circulation, and other feedback mechanisms.

The international climate policy framework recognizes the global importance of tropical forest conservation through mechanisms such as Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation programs. These mechanisms aim to provide economic incentives for tropical forest conservation by recognizing the global climate benefits of maintaining forest cover. (68)

Carbon offset markets represent another mechanism through which the global climate implications of tropical deforestation are being addressed. Forest conservation projects in tropical regions can generate carbon credits that are sold to offset emissions elsewhere, providing economic incentives for forest protection while contributing to global climate mitigation efforts.

The global economic implications of tropical deforestation extend beyond direct climate costs to include impacts on agricultural productivity, water resources, and human welfare in regions affected by altered climate patterns. These economic impacts create additional incentives for international cooperation on tropical forest conservation as part of global climate action. (69)

International trade patterns contribute to tropical deforestation through global demand for agricultural products, timber, and other forest commodities. Addressing the global climate implications of tropical deforestation therefore requires international cooperation to reduce deforestation pressures while providing alternative livelihood options for forest-dependent communities.

The scientific understanding of global climate implications from tropical deforestation continues to evolve as new research reveals complex interactions between forest systems and global climate patterns. Ongoing monitoring and research efforts are improving quantification of these impacts and informing policy responses aimed at reducing deforestation and mitigating its global climate effects. (70)

Global climate adaptation strategies increasingly recognize the importance of maintaining tropical forest cover to preserve climate regulation services that help maintain climate stability. Forest conservation is therefore viewed not only as a climate mitigation strategy but also as a climate adaptation measure that helps maintain the stability of global climate systems.

8. Conclusion

This comparative analysis of deforestation impacts in the Amazon and Congo basins reveals the critical importance of these forest systems for global climate stability and highlights the urgent need for comprehensive conservation strategies that address the distinct challenges facing each region (71). The differential patterns of forest loss, carbon dynamics, and climate impacts between these two major tropical forest systems demonstrate the complexity of tropical deforestation as a driver of global climate change and the necessity of region-specific approaches to forest conservation.

The Amazon basin's higher absolute rates of forest loss and larger carbon emissions reflect the scale and intensity of commercial deforestation pressures, primarily driven by cattle ranching and soybean cultivation. With annual carbon dioxide emissions of approximately 1.1 billion tons, Amazon deforestation represents a substantial contributor to global greenhouse gas concentrations. The region's extensive forest fragmentation and edge effects compound these direct emission impacts, while the loss of evapotranspiration capacity affects precipitation patterns across South America and potentially influences global atmospheric circulation. (72)

The Congo basin presents a different but equally concerning deforestation trajectory, with accelerating forest loss rates and emerging threats from commercial logging, mining, and agricultural expansion. While current annual emissions of 0.6 billion tons of carbon dioxide equivalent are lower than Amazon levels, the 84% increase in deforestation rates between 2000 and 2020 indicates intensifying pressures that could substantially increase future emissions. The region's newly discovered peatland carbon stores add urgency to conservation efforts, as their disturbance could release massive quantities of stored carbon.

The regional climate impacts documented in both basins extend far beyond immediate deforestation areas, demonstrating the interconnected nature of tropical forest systems with continental-scale climate patterns (73). Amazon deforestation affects precipitation across South America, potentially threatening water security for major urban centers and agricultural regions. Congo basin forest loss influences African monsoon systems and may contribute to precipitation reductions across the Sahel region, affecting food security for vulnerable populations.

The global climate implications of continued deforestation in these regions are profound, with both forests playing critical roles in global carbon cycling, atmospheric circulation, and climate stability (74). The approach of the Amazon to potential tipping point thresholds raises particular concerns about irreversible forest collapse that could fundamentally alter global climate patterns. The Congo basin faces similar risks, though the specific thresholds and mechanisms remain less well understood.

Biodiversity losses in both regions create additional climate vulnerabilities by reducing ecosystem resilience and adaptive capacity. The elimination of species diversity, pollination networks, and seed dispersal systems impairs forest regeneration potential and may reduce the capacity of forest ecosystems to adapt to changing climate conditions (75). These biodiversity impacts create feedback loops that could accelerate forest degradation and climate change.

The economic valuation of climate regulation services provided by tropical forests reveals substantial benefits that are rarely captured in land-use decisions. The climate regulation services of intact tropical forests may be worth thousands of dollars per hectare annually in terms of avoided climate damages, yet these values are typically externalized in market transactions. This market failure creates systematic incentives for deforestation that do not reflect the full social costs of forest loss. (76)

International policy frameworks including the Paris Agreement and Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation mechanisms recognize the global importance of tropical forest conservation but require enhanced implementation and financing to achieve meaningful impact. Carbon offset markets and payments for ecosystem services represent emerging mechanisms for capturing the global value of forest conservation, but these approaches require careful design to ensure environmental integrity and equitable benefit distribution.

The distinct socioeconomic and political contexts of the Amazon and Congo basins require tailored conservation approaches that address region-specific drivers of deforestation (77). Amazon conservation efforts must address large-scale commercial agriculture and cattle ranching through supply chain interventions, protected area management, and alternative development models. Congo basin conservation requires attention to subsistence agriculture pressures, governance challenges, and sustainable livelihood alternatives for forest-dependent communities.

Technological advances in satellite monitoring, remote sensing, and data analysis are improving the capacity to track forest changes in near real-time and implement rapid response measures. These technological tools, combined with enhanced international cooperation and financing mechanisms, offer improved prospects for effective forest conservation that addresses both local development needs and global climate imperatives. (78)

The integration of traditional ecological knowledge with modern conservation science offers additional opportunities for developing effective forest management strategies. Indigenous and local communities in both regions possess sophisticated understanding of forest ecology and sustainable use practices that can inform broader conservation approaches while respecting traditional rights and knowledge systems.

Climate change adaptation strategies increasingly recognize the importance of maintaining tropical forest cover to preserve climate regulation services that help buffer against climate impacts. Forest conservation therefore serves dual purposes as both a climate mitigation strategy through carbon storage and a climate adaptation measure through maintenance of climate stability and ecosystem resilience. (79)

The urgency of addressing tropical deforestation as a climate issue cannot be overstated. Current deforestation trends in both the Amazon and Congo basins are inconsistent with global climate stabilization goals and threaten to undermine international climate action through continued high emissions and reduced natural climate regulation capacity. The window for preventing irreversible forest losses and associated climate tipping points may be rapidly closing, making immediate and sustained action essential. (80)

Future research priorities should focus on improving understanding of forest-climate interactions, particularly in the Congo basin where knowledge gaps remain substantial. Better quantification of carbon storage, emission dynamics, and climate feedbacks will support more effective policy responses and conservation strategies. Enhanced monitoring systems and predictive models are needed to anticipate and prevent critical forest loss thresholds.

The comparative analysis presented here demonstrates that while the Amazon and Congo basins face different deforestation pressures and exhibit different patterns of forest loss, both represent critical components of the global climate system whose continued degradation poses substantial risks to climate stability (81). Effective responses require recognition of both the global significance of these forest systems and the local contexts that drive forest loss, combined with unprecedented levels of international cooperation and commitment to sustainable development that values forest conservation as essential for climate stability and human welfare.

The preservation of Amazon and Congo basin forests represents one of the most cost-effective and immediately available strategies for climate change mitigation and adaptation. However, realizing this potential requires fundamental changes in economic incentives, governance systems, and international cooperation that reflect the true value of tropical forests for global climate stability. The evidence presented in this analysis underscores the critical importance of these changes and the urgent need for action to prevent irreversible forest losses with profound implications for global climate and human welfare. (82)

References

[1] A. Singh, K. Arora, and S. C. Babu, "An analysis of long-term and short-term impact of climate change on rice production in india," *China Agricultural Economic Review*, vol. 16, pp. 290–319, 4 2024.

[2] G. Beattie, "Measuring social benefits of media coverage: How coverage of climate change affects behavior," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1 2022.

[3] H. S. Mamba and T. O. Randhir, "Exploring temperature and precipitation changes under future climate change scenarios for black and white rhinoceros populations in southern africa," *Biodiversity*, vol. 25, pp. 52–64, 1 2024.

[4] C. A. Johnson, R. Ren, and L. B. Buckley, "Temperature sensitivity of fitness components across life cycles drives insect responses to climate change.," *The American naturalist*, vol. 202, pp. 753–766, 10 2023.

[5] H. Comstock and N. DeLay, "Estimating us farmers' speed of climate change adaptation: the case of subsurface tile drainage," *Agricultural Finance Review*, vol. 83, pp. 734–761, 9 2023.

[6] P. T. A. Huynh, N. D. Le, S. T. H. Le, and H. X. Nguyen, "Vulnerability of fishery-based livelihoods to climate change in coastal communities in central vietnam," *Coastal Management*, vol. 49, pp. 275–292, 3 2021.

[7] G. Salako, O. O. Oyebanji, T. E. Olagunju, and G. T. Howe, "Potential impact of climate change on the distribution of some selected legumes in cameroon and adjoining nigeria border," *African Journal of Ecology*, vol. 59, pp. 959–975, 8 2021.

[8] N. Obradovich and K. Minor, "Identifying and preparing for the mental health burden of climate change.," *JAMA psychiatry*, vol. 79, pp. 285–285, 4 2022.

[9] J. L. Wescoat, "Climate change adaptation in the built environment: Lessons from a geographical transect of indus river basin settlements," *International Journal of Islamic Architecture*, vol. 13, pp. 5–20, 1 2024.

[10] A. Z. A. Arietta and D. K. Skelly, "Rapid microgeographic evolution in response to climate change.," *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution*, vol. 75, pp. 2930–2943, 9 2021.

[11] K. Jiao, Z. Liu, W. Wang, K. Yu, M. J. Mcgrath, and W. Xu, "Carbon cycle responses to climate change across china's terrestrial ecosystem: Sensitivity and driving process.," *The Science of the total environment*, vol. 915, pp. 170053–170053, 1 2024.

[12] M. Pelling, R. B. Kerr, R. Biesbroek, M. A. Caretta, G. Cissé, M. J. Costello, K. L. Ebi, E. L. Gunn, C. Parmesan, C. J. Schuster-Wallace, M. C. Tirado, M. van Aalst, and A. Woodward, "Synergies between covid-19 and climate change impacts and responses.," *Journal of Extreme Events*, vol. 8, 11 2021.

[13] S. S. Qian, J. G. Kennen, J. T. May, M. C. Freeman, and T. F. Cuffney, "Evaluating the impact of watershed development and climate change on stream ecosystems: A bayesian network modeling approach.," *Water research*, vol. 205, pp. 117685–, 9 2021.

[14] M. Poffenroth, "Fear appeal in climate change communication: Analysis of the economist magazine covers," *Academia Letters*, 5 2021.

[15] K. Hogan, "Rising tides: Reflections for climate changing times. edited by catriona sandilands.," *ISLE: Interdisciplinary Studies in Literature and Environment*, vol. 28, pp. 396–397, 2 2021.

[16] B. D. Stewart, "Sharma v. minister for environment: A unique 'anns-wer' to public authority non-liability for climate change harms in canada?," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1 2022.

[17] M. Samimi, A. Mirchi, N. Townsend, D. Gutzler, S. Daggubati, S. Ahn, Z. Sheng, D. Moriasi, A. Granados-Olivas, S. Alian, A. Mayer, and W. Hargrove, "Climate change impacts on agricultural water availability in the middle rio grande basin," *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, vol. 58, pp. 164–184, 2 2022.

[18] M. Segoli, M. Kishinevsky, and J. A. Harvey, "Climate change, temperature extremes, and impacts on hyperparasitoids.," *Current opinion in insect science*, vol. 64, pp. 101229–101229, 6 2024.

[19] K. B. Lewellyn, S. Falcon, and K. Moghaddam, "Believing in climate change: help or hindrance for entrepreneurs' resilience?," *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, vol. 36, pp. 1071–1095, 1 2024.

[20] M. McLean, D. Mouillot, A. Maureaud, T. Hattab, M. A. MacNeil, E. Goberville, M. Lindegren, G. H. Engelhard, M. L. Pinsky, and A. Auber, "Disentangling tropicalization and deborealization in marine ecosystems under climate change," *Current biology : CB*, vol. 31, pp. 4817–4823.e5, 9 2021.

[21] H. M. Bothwell, L. M. Evans, E. I. Hersch-Green, S. A. Woolbright, G. J. Allan, and T. G. Whitham, "Genetic data improves niche model discrimination and alters the direction and magnitude of climate change forecasts," *Ecological applications : a publication of the Ecological Society of America*, vol. 31, pp. e02254–, 1 2021.

[22] L. Mongonia, "Climate change and mental health: the counseling professional's role," *Journal of Counselor Leadership and Advocacy*, vol. 9, pp. 57–70, 1 2022.

[23] S. P. Dash, P. Dipankar, P. S. Burange, B. T. Rouse, and P. P. Sarangi, "Climate change: how it impacts the emergence, transmission, resistance and consequences of viral infections in animals and plants.," *Critical reviews in microbiology*, vol. 47, pp. 307–322, 2 2021.

[24] T. O. Randhir, K. Toffling, and C. R. Griffin, "Impacts of climate change and variability on the growth potential of global mangrove distribution," *Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure*, vol. 9, pp. 63–71, 9 2023.

[25] P.-F. Han, E. Istanbulluoglu, L. Wan, and X.-S. Wang, "A new hydrologic sensitivity framework for unsteady-state responses to climate change and its application to catchments with croplands in illinois," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 57, 8 2021.

[26] A. Mahajan, R. Kline, and D. Tingley, "Collective risk and distributional equity in climate change bargaining," *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, vol. 66, pp. 002200272110273–90, 8 2021.

[27] V. Bejagam, V. R. Keesara, and V. Sridhar, "Impacts of climate change on water provisional services in tungabhadra basin using invest model," *River Research and Applications*, vol. 38, pp. 94–106, 11 2021.

[28] B. M. Anderson, K. C. Herleman, C. Ebey, and D. Haas, "Consider the following: A pilot study of the effects of an educational television program on viewer perceptions of anthropogenic climate change and ocean acidification," *Journal of Geoscience Education*, vol. 70, pp. 437–459, 8 2021.

[29] H. H. Covert, F. A. Wahid, S. E. Wenzel, and M. Y. Lichtveld, "Climate change impacts on respiratory health: exposure, vulnerability, and risk.," *Physiological reviews*, vol. 103, pp. 2507–2522, 6 2023.

[30] M. Alam, "Reading the novel sarongge through the eyes of female environmental activists in indonesia," in *Environment, Media, and Popular Culture in Southeast Asia*, pp. 47–60, Springer, 2022.

[31] G. Lusk, "Looking forward and backward at extreme event attribution in climate policy," *Ethics, Policy & Environment*, vol. 25, pp. 37–51, 1 2021.

[32] M. Alam, "Environmental activists' hysteresis as a driving force for establishing environmental actions against urban forest privatization in bandung, indonesia," *International Sociology*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 801–818, 2021.

[33] J. H. Lohmeier, S. R. Thompson, R. F. Chen, and S. Mishol, "Youth as climate change messengers: A picture is worth a thousand words:," *Science Communication*, vol. 43, pp. 814–823, 9 2021.

[34] Z. Han, B. Zhang, L. Yang, and C. He, "Assessment of the impact of future climate change on maize yield and water use efficiency in agro-pastoral ecotone of northwestern china," *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, vol. 207, pp. 317–331, 1 2021.

[35] Y. Liu, Y. Liu, J. Fu, C.-E. Yang, X. Dong, H. Tian, B. Tao, J. Yang, Y. Wang, Y. Zou, and Z. Ke, "Projection of future wildfire emissions in western usa under climate change: contributions from changes in wildfire, fuel loading and fuel moisture," *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, vol. 31, pp. 1–13, 12 2021.

[36] T. Shealy, A. Katz, and A. Godwin, "Predicting engineering students' desire to address climate change in their careers: an exploratory study using responses from a u.s. national survey," *Environmental Education Research*, vol. 27, pp. 1054–1079, 5 2021.

[37] L. Z. Almeida, J. Grayson, S. A. Ludsin, K. Dabrowski, and E. A. Marschall, "Experiential legacies of early-life dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid content on juvenile walleye: Potential impacts from climate change," *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, vol. 32, pp. 23–36, 5 2022.

[38] B. Byg and A. D. Shah, "Heating up: climate change and the threat to human health.," *Current opinion in nephrology and hypertension*, vol. 33, pp. 78–82, 10 2023.

[39] A. Villamizar-Gomez, H.-H. Wang, M. R. Peterson, W. E. Grant, and M. R. J. Forstner, "Environmental determinants of batrachochytrium dendrobatidis and the likelihood of further dispersion in the face of climate change in texas, usa.," *Diseases of aquatic organisms*, vol. 146, pp. 29–39, 9 2021.

[40] M. Alam, "Reconstructing anti-capitalism as heterodoxa in indonesia's youth-led urban environmentalism twitter account," *Geoforum*, vol. 114, pp. 151–158, 2020.

[41] A. Hultgren, T. Carleton, M. Delgado, D. R. Gergel, M. Greenstone, T. Houser, S. Hsiang, A. Jina, R. E. Kopp, S. B. Malevich, K. McCusker, T. Mayer, I. Nath, J. Rising, A. Rode, and J. Yuan, "Estimating global impacts to agriculture from climate change accounting for adaptation," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1 2022.

[42] R. J. Beechinor, A. Overberg, C. S. Brown, S. Cummins, and J. Mordino, "Climate change is here: What will the profession of pharmacy do about it?," *American journal of health-system pharmacy : AJHP : official journal of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists*, vol. 79, pp. 1393–1396, 5 2022.

[43] L. Susskind and A. Kim, "Building local capacity to adapt to climate change," *Climate Policy*, vol. 22, pp. 593–606, 2 2021.

[44] M. Alam, "Young people as transformative citizens fighting climate change," in *Political Identity and Democratic Citizenship in Turbulent Times*, pp. 230–254, IGI Global, 2020.

[45] K. B. Ensor and R. Sorkin, "Helping society mitigate effects of climate change," *CHANCE*, vol. 36, pp. 14–16, 1 2023.

[46] M. Brown, J. H. Schoen, J. Gross, R. A. Omary, and K. Hanneman, "Climate change and radiology: Impetus for change and a toolkit for action.," *Radiology*, vol. 307, pp. e230229–, 4 2023.

[47] A. Brown, S. Collado, G. W. Evans, and J. E. Loebach, "Designing learning environments for promoting young people's constructive coping with climate change.," *Advances in child development and behavior*, vol. 65, pp. 169–198, 5 2023.

[48] M. H. Fernández, J. S. Pelegrin, A. R. G. Cano, B. A. G. Yelo, A. Moreno-Bofarull, N. Sánchez-Fontela, C. Rodríguez-Ruiz, A. R. Camacho, L. Domingo, I. Menéndez, D. M. Martín-Perea, C. M. Bazán, G. M. Alcalde, M. S. Domingo, B. Luna, M. del Mar Peinado Cortés, A. Arias, G. G. Couturier, A. M. Villena, N. Anaya, F. Blanco, E. Galli, S. Gamboa, Álvaro Quesada, D. Sanz-Pérez, S. Varela, and J. L. Cantalapiedra, "Macroevolution and climate changes: a global multi-family test supports the resource-use hypothesis in terrestrial mammals," *Historical Biology*, vol. 34, pp. 1471–1479, 2 2022.

[49] C. Sims, S. E. Null, J. Medellín-Azuara, and A. Odame, "Hurry up or wait: Are private investments in climate change adaptation delayed?," *Climate Change Economics*, vol. 12, 11 2021.

[50] M. C. Sheehan, "Climate change and human well-being in the 2020s: Lessons from 2020.," *International journal of health services : planning, administration, evaluation*, vol. 51, pp. 281–286, 4 2021.

[51] A. Sharifi, K. K. Steenerson, J. C. Alyono, M. E. Ghaffari, M. Zojaji, S. Ghaedsharaf, M. H. Salmani, and A. Kouhi, "The effect of climate changes on incidence of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo; a systematic review with meta-analysis of 16144 patients.," *Environmental research*, vol. 259, pp. 119551–119551, 7 2024.

[52] S. Ahmad, I. Ahmad, B. Ahmad, A. Ahmad, A. Wajid, T. Khaliq, G. Abbas, C. Wilkerson, and G. Hoogenboom, "Regional integrated assessment of climate change impact on cotton production in a semi-arid environment," *Climate Research*, vol. 89, pp. 113–132, 3 2023.

[53] K. Leathers, D. Herbst, M. Safeeq, and A. Ruhi, "Dynamic, downstream-propagating thermal vulnerability in a mountain stream network: Implications for biodiversity in the face of climate change," *Limnology and Oceanography*, vol. 68, 11 2022.

[54] J. F. Inwood and J. A. Tyner, "Militarism and the mutually assured destruction of climate change," *Space and Polity*, vol. 26, pp. 62–66, 1 2022.

[55] B. J. Vierra and J. M. Vint, "Climate change and the neolithic in the american southwest," *Journal of Anthropological Research*, vol. 78, pp. 59–83, 3 2022.

[56] W. Greaves and G. Gricius, "Overlooking nature: the arctic, climate change, and environmental diplomacy in the study of canadian foreign policy," *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*, vol. 30, pp. 160–175, 5 2024.

[57] A. S. E. Lee and N. Ramsey, "Climate change and food allergy.," *Immunology and allergy clinics of North America*, vol. 44, pp. 75–83, 8 2023.

[58] P. L. Wood and S. L. Kunigelis, "Pilot lipidomics study of copepods: Investigation of potential lipid-based biomarkers for the early detection and quantification of the biological effects of climate change on the oceanic food chain," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1 2022.

[59] P. Qin, H. Xu, Z. Xia, L. Liu, B. Lu, Q. Wang, C. Xiao, and Z. Xu, "Hydrologic responses to climate change and implications for reservoirs in the source region of the yangtze river," *International Journal of Climatology*, vol. 44, pp. 5280–5296, 10 2024.

[60] P. Bongioanni, R. D. Carratore, S. Corbianco, A. Diana, G. Cavallini, S. M. Masciandaro, M. Dini, and R. Buizza, "Climate change and neurodegenerative diseases.," *Environmental research*, vol. 201, pp. 111511–, 6 2021.

[61] J. Baumbusch, E. Blakey, A. Carapellotti, M. Dohmen, S. H. Kagan, and G. J. Melendez-Torres, "This alarm is not a drill: We call gerontological nurses to act on climate change.," *International journal of older people nursing*, vol. 16, pp. e12421–, 9 2021.

[62] S. Zhang, S. Ferreira, and B. Karali, "Understanding public acceptability of climate policies in europe," *Climate Policy*, vol. 25, pp. 725–740, 10 2024.

[63] S. A. Crate, "Sakha and alaas: Place attachment and cultural identity in a time of climate change," *Anthropology and Humanism*, vol. 47, pp. 20–38, 9 2021.

[64] A. N. Asthana, "Voluntary sustainability standards in latin american agribusiness: Convergence and differentiation," *American-Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 2014.

[65] H. Ahmad, F. Jose, M. S. Bhuyan, and H. E. Elzain, "Vulnerability of sundarbans to climate change and cyclone intensification: Mapping, dynamics and future change analysis of mangrove forest using landsat data," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1 2022.

[66] H. H. Khan, N. Samargandi, and A. Ahmed, "Economic development, energy consumption, and climate change: An empirical account from malaysia," *Natural Resources Forum*, vol. 45, pp. 397–423, 9 2021.

[67] P. C. M. Williams, A. W. Bartlett, A. R. Howard-Jones, B. McMullan, A. Khatami, P. N. Britton, and B. J. Marais, "Impact of climate change and biodiversity collapse on the global emergence and spread of infectious diseases.," *Journal of paediatrics and child health*, vol. 57, pp. 1811–1818, 11 2021.

[68] A. Asthana and D. Tavželj, "International business education through an intergovernmental organisation," *Journal of International Business Education*, vol. 17, pp. 247–266, 2022.

[69] J. D. Salva and B. A. Bradley, "High-impact invasive plants expanding into mid-atlantic states: identifying priority range-shifting species for monitoring in light of climate change," *Invasive Plant Science and Management*, vol. 16, pp. 197–206, 10 2023.

[70] A. Petherick, J. D. Reuther, S. Shirar, S. L. Anderson, and L. R. DeSantis, "Dietary ecology of alaskan polar bears (ursus maritimus) through time and in response to arctic climate change.," *Global change biology*, vol. 27, pp. 3109–3119, 4 2021.

[71] A. Alnajar, O. H. Frazier, A. Elgalad, P. A. Smith, and J. M. Shultz, "Preparing end-stage heart failure patients and care providers in the era of climate change-driven hurricanes.," *Journal of cardiac surgery*, vol. 36, pp. 3491–3493, 7 2021.

[72] S. Oswald and J. Arnold, "Challenges of quantifying direct heat stress effects of climate change on seabirds," *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, vol. 737, pp. 25–29, 6 2024.

[73] M. Yazdanpanah, S. B. Homayoon, T. Zobeidi, K. M. Woosnam, K. Löhr, and S. Sieber, "Bridging farmers' non-cognitive and self-conscious emotional factors to cognitive determinants of climate change adaptation in southwest iran," *Climate and Development*, vol. 17, pp. 119–133, 3 2024.

[74] M. Tan and C. A. Scholz, "Source-to-sink response to high-amplitude lake level rise driven by orbital-scale climate change: An example from the pleistocene lake malawi (nyasa) rift, east africa," *Sedimentology*, vol. 68, pp. 3494–3522, 8 2021.

[75] K. A. Hittle, E. S. Kwon, and D. J. Coughlin, "Climate change and anadromous fish: How does thermal acclimation affect the mechanics of the myotomal muscle of the atlantic salmon, salmo salar?," *Journal of experimental zoology. Part A, Ecological and integrative physiology*, vol. 335, pp. 311–318, 1 2021.

[76] K. N. Hylton, "On the role of courts in climate policy: Comment on lando," *Review of Law & Economics*, vol. 20, pp. 197–202, 3 2024.

[77] S. J. Collier and S. Cox, "Governing urban resilience: Insurance and the problematization of climate change," *Economy and Society*, vol. 50, pp. 275–296, 4 2021.

[78] A. Asthana, "What determines access to subsidised food by the rural poor?: Evidence from india," *International Development Planning Review*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 263–279, 2009.

[79] S. A. Mahanes, M. E. S. Bracken, and C. J. B. Sorte, "Climate change amelioration by marine producers: Does dominance predict impact?," *The Biological bulletin*, vol. 243, pp. 299–314, 12 2022.

[80] M. Venkatesan, "Call for papers: <i>sustainability and climate change</i>," *Sustainability and Climate Change*, vol. 15, pp. 84–84, 2 2022.

[81] J. Jobe and K. B. Gedan, "Species-specific responses of a marsh-forest ecotone plant community responding to climate change," *Ecology*, vol. 102, pp. e03296–, 3 2021.

[82] K. Ricke and A. Harding, "How may solar geoengineering impact global prospects for climate change mitigation?," *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, vol. 39, pp. 828–841, 11 2023.